



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJPR_3806
Title of the Manuscript:	Gastroprotective activity of methanol leaves extract of Barleria prionitis Linn. on ethanol and indomethacin induced ulcer in rats

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>(1) For query 1, the authors have quoted some other study which used 2500 mg/kg of the plant in a toxicity study. I understand, 1/5 of the safe dose was considered as the highest dose in the present study. However, when comparing their doses to other study, the authors are silent on the biodiversity in constituents due to geographical variations observed with plants.\</p> <p>(2) Explanation 2, is already covered in the original paper and not in response to my queries 2,3,4 and 5. The authors have quoted some model papers without explaining the LOGIC.</p> <p>(3) The authors say they improved the manuscript by providing histopathological figures. It is very strange that the authors do not know the difference between macroscopic view and histopathological view. Please see foot note in Figure 2. Please see the attached pages for a difference.</p> <p>(4) The authors should perform pharmacokinetic studies for a realistic approach to the study.</p>	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer