



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJPR_4083
Title of the Manuscript:	Stability of an aspirin in the aspirin+curcumin admixture at different storage conditions
Type of the Article	Short Research Articles

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>English spelling and grammar should be improved. The authors should comment about the selection of the storage conditions. Why the stability study was performed only for 7 days and not for a longer period of time?</p> <p>A statistical analysis should be included. A more detailed interpretation/discussion of the data would be convenient.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Abstract:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - It should say "storage temperatures" instead of "storage temperature". - It should say "the concentration of aspirin in each solution" instead of "the concentration of aspirin in the each solution". - It should say "directly injected" instead of "directly inject". - It should say "stability dependence" instead of "stability depend". <p>Introduction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - It should say "storage temperatures" instead of "storage temperature" (lines 48 and 51). - It should say "the study evaluated" instead of "the study was evaluated" (line 49). <p>Materials and Methods:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - It should say "were of analytical grade" instead of "were analytical grade" (line 60). - It should say "until analyzed" instead of "at until analyzed" (line 69). 	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - It should say “directly injected” instead of “directly inject” (line 70). - It should say “in each sample” instead of “in the each sample” (line 77). - It should say “in distilled water” instead of “in distill water” (line 85). <p>Results and Discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - It should say “sufficiently precise and accurate” instead of “sufficiently precise and accuracies” (line 123). - It should say “for each of the designated samples” instead of “for each the designated samples” (line 138). 	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>This paper deals with the stability of aspirin and curcumin admixture solutions, as their combination has been demonstrated to have synergistic effect in the chemoprevention of colorectal cancer. Although the subject is appropriate for the journal, physical stability is only based in visual inspections of the solutions. Moreover, a longer period of time would have been desirable for the evaluation of chemical stability.</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Carmen Ferrero
Department, University & Country	Department of Pharmaceutical Technology, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Seville, Spain