



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1:**

Journal Name:	<b><u>British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research</u></b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>2013_BJPR_4517</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>AMELIORATIVE EFFECT OF LEAVES OF CARICA PAPAYA IN ETHANOL AND ANTITUBERCULAR DRUG INDUCED HEPATOTOXICITY</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research paper</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:**

**<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>**

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>1. The conclusion in abstract states the ..."current study justify the use of Carica papaya leaves in the <i>treatment</i> of liver damage...". The word 'treatment' should be replaced with 'prevention' as suited with the experimental design.</p> <p>2. Under Introduction, language should be improved in presentation of the first three paragraphs so that there is a flow of connection from one paragraph to the next.</p> <p>3. Under Materials and methods, 2.2 Collection of Plant Material, should indicate the age of the papaya leave. Similarly, in 2.5 Experimental animals, the age of the mice should be informed.</p> <p>4. The second paragraph under Discussion should be summarized and moved to methodology section 2.6, to explain the selection of 400mg/kg of leave extract for the study.</p> <p>5. Subsections under Methodology on Liver tissue and</p>	



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

	serum analysis should be included to provide clarity of method. Title for Section 2.8 Tissue estimation, not clear.	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

**Note: Anonymous Reviewer**