



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJPR_3887
Title of the Manuscript:	In vitro antibacterial activity of Cichorium intybus against some pathogenic bacteria

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The problem is that the significance, the author has mentioned in the results, did not indicate significance between which groups? i.e. Which groups were compared to each other?2. Even the standard Cefotaxime was not referred to at all. We usually use a standard drug to compare the potency of the extract to that of the standard, which was not achieved in this work.3. The authors mentioned that "MIC should be determined for better potency of extracts" but did not respond to?	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Attia H Atta
Department, University & Country	Pharmacology Dept., Cairo University, Egypt