



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJPR_5670
Title of the Manuscript:	Enhanced Bioavailability of Nimodipine from Bioadhesive Buccal Bilayered Patches in Human Volunteers
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. The patches should be evaluated for swelling properties and the results should be correlated with drug release studies.</p> <p>2. The DSC studies should be done for samples prepared under the same conditions used to prepare the patches, not just the physical mixture of drug/polymer.</p> <p>3. Exp 2.15, drug content should be determined by HPLC because the saliva contains many substances that absorb UV light at 240 nm.</p> <p>4. The method used for statistical analysis is not mentioned in the manuscript.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>This manuscript reports an interesting study and should be published in the British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research.</p>	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer