



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1:**

Journal Name:	<b><u>British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research</u></b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>2013_BJPR_4454</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Phytochemical Screening and Investigation of the central and peripheral Analgesic and Anti-Inflammatory activity of ethanol extract of Hiptage Bengalensis (L) Kurz</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research paper</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:**

**(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)**

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>This study reported a few of experimental findings in the preliminary stage or no novelty. When I read the article, I found that the authors were serious enough to prepare the manuscript because the format is not correct, the way presenting the data is not convenient for readers to understand. This article involved the use of mice and rats, but I cannot find the ethic committee approval statement.</b></p> <p><b>Methods</b>  <b>1). No proper analgesic activity and anti-inflammatory assay are described.</b>  <b>2). Why 80% of ethanolic extract was used in the study, but not 70or 90%.</b></p> <p><b>Results:</b>  <b>1). Too many tables and figures. Some of them could be combined , for example Fig8 &amp; 9. If the data was already presented in table , it is not necessary to report it again in figure. Again, please check the format of the figure.</b></p> <p><b>Discussion:</b>  <b>1). No discussion on the relationship of phytochemical screening results to the analgesic and anti-inflammatory activity is reported. Therefore, I cannot relate the purpose of doing phytochemical screening.</b></p>	



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Note: Anonymous Reviewer**