



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJPR_4117
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of microbial purity, acute and subchronic toxicities of a Nigerian commercial polyherbal formulation used in the treatment of diabetes mellitus
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Results based on wrong procedure. It is ethically wrong to administer doses above 5 g/kg to mice or rats. These are non pharmacological/toxicological doses which no Ethical Committee should approve. One wonders how those doses were forced down the animals. The LD₅₀ of 15.2 g/kg reported is both wrong and misleading. The method described for the determination is surely not the method described by Lorke (1983). Lorke in his method employed maximum of 13 animals and not 35	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer