



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJPR_4092
Title of the Manuscript:	FORMULATION & EVALUATION OF FAST DISSOLVING TABLETS OF AMLODIPINE BESYLATE BY USING CO-PROCESSED SUPERDISINTEGRANTS
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the first place, the paper doesn't give any new information and the same drug has been studied by many researchers time and again. So the authors need to justify of why they employed such a drug in which there is nothing remain to improve or study. 2. The crosprovidone and starch has been repeatedly employed by many researchers to improve the tablet properties then how authors think their work is significant? There should be something new in the paper!! 3. The introduction section is quite long with much unnecessary description about the tablet and FDT technology. The authors have to mention about the published report on amlodipine and its shortfall and then has to rationalize of how authors attempt will improve certain properties. 4. The reference should be on the text not on the title or subtitle. In addition, the reference should 	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>be followed by punctuation mark and not otherwise.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">5. The results and discussion section is very short with no detailed explanation for any parameters. The authors should discuss/compare their results with the help of many references.6. The Tables has to be properly arranged and should be uniform throughout the manuscript.7. The drug release graph should include standard deviation values.8. The FTIR graph should be of high resolution and should be combined.9. At places, some sentences are bolded and at some places they are not so the authors have to make it uniform.	
--	---	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Since the work was completed in an academic set up, so the corresponding author can't be the first author and it is quite not possible by the corresponding author to complete all the work by his own.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer