



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1:**

Journal Name:	<b><u>British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research</u></b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>2013_BJPR_4117</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Evaluation of microbial purity, acute and subchronic toxicities of a Nigerian commercial polyherbal formulation used in the treatment of diabetes mellitus</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research paper</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:**

**(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)**

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



## SDI Review Form 1.6

### PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Results and Discussion</b></p> <p>-line 238-247: In the discussion of microbial purity, the authors have not discussed the risk of high presence of E. coli. I would suggest to authors to discuss this risk in few lines.</p> <p>-Line 264:...Significant (<math>p \leq 0.05</math>) increase in the body weight was observed only in animals that received the highest dose (600 mg/kg bwt) of the lyophilized extract while... compared to the control. but in table 3 there is no significant results indicated by: * Please verify all results.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Abstract:</b> Please no abbreviations in the abstract (RBC.. and WBC..)</p> <p><b>Results and Discussion:</b></p> <p>-From line 231-237 (...2010): I would suggest to authors to remove these lines, because it is a repetition to idea of introduction.</p> <p>-Table 1: ameliorate the table presentation (use other size or font (<i>Bacillu...s specie ...s</i>))</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	It is a descriptive manuscript which not develops any mechanism of action.	

**Note: Anonymous Reviewer**