SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJPR_4092
Title of the Manuscript:	FORMULATION & EVALUATION OF FAST DISSOLVING TABLETS OF
_	AMLODIPINE BESYLATE BY USING CO-PROCESSED
	SUPERDISINTEGRANTS
Type of the Article	December 1997
	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

• This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1- The manuscript should be revised typographical errors. 2- Improve the Abstract and Conclusion sections 3- What is the Industrial feasibility of this work? 4- References are not as per journal format 5- Rearrange the paragraphs. The arrangements should be uniform throughout the manuscript. 6- Title words should not be present in the Key	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
	words, change the key words. 7- Try to add the recent references (2013-2011) in the introduction 8- Support your experimental results with coprocessed polymers are superior than individual polymersGive literature evidence to support your data. 9- Improve the Methodology section 10- Improve your Discussion part (Major revision). 11- Add the DSC and stability studies data to strengthen the manuscript. Overall the concept was good, but improve the writing skills and go through the Author instructions	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		





www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

	1. Line 107: Specify the blending method	
	2. Line 123: Give the specifications of punching	
	machine	
	3. Line 123: What is the compression force used	
	4. Line 125: Remove the ref on heading	
	5. Under sec 2.4 combine 1, 2, 3 sections as single	
	paragaraph and give coading as 2.4.1, 2.4.2,	
	6. Line 140: Remove the ref on heading	
	7. Mention the n value (no of tablets) for each	
	evaluation test	
	8. Use <i>in vitro</i> instead of in vitro	
	9. How did you measure the bulk and tapped	
	density? Give the specifications of instrument	
	used	
	10. Rearrange the Table 5	
	11. Explain the FTIR graphs in results sections	
Optional/General comments		
	1. Why pH 6.5? What is the PKa value of drug	
	2. Is really co-processed superdisintegrants	
	are superior than individual	
	superdisintegrant	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer