SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

| Journal Name: | British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research |
|--------------------------|---|
| Manuscript Number: | MS: 2012/BJPR/1994 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Immunomodulatory effects of aqueous extracts of <i>Auricularia</i> sp and <i>Pleurotus</i> sp mushrooms in cyclophosphamide-immunosuppressed Wistar rats. |

General guideline: Reviewers are requested to follow these guidelines during review: (Note: Title of different sections as proposed below may differ in case of review paper / case reports)

- Introduction (Is the problem/objective of this study original, important and well defined?)
- Materials & methods (Kindly comment on the suitability of the methods. Sufficient details of the methods should be provided to allow peers to evaluate and/or replicate the work)
- Results & discussion (Kindly comment on: 1. Are the data well controlled and robust? 2. Authors should provide relevant references during discussion. 3. Discussion and conclusions should be based on actual facts and figures. Biased claims should be pointed out. 4. Are statistical analyses must for this paper? If yes, have sufficient and appropriate statistical analyses been carried out?)
- Conclusion (Is the conclusion supported by the data, discussed inside the manuscript? Conclusions should not be biased and should be based on the data, presented inside the manuscript only)
- Are all the references cited relevant, adequate? Are there any other suitable current references authors need to cite?
- This form has total 10 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part and write here 'Corrected'/ if not agreed, give suitable justifications) |
|------------------------------|--|--|
| COMPULSORY REVISION comments | Line: 94 – kindly provide the data for LD 50 value. If paper published, if not, kindly provide the data in the article. | |
| | Line 168: experiments in which the sentence is incomplete. Kindly complete the sentence. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | To maintain uniformity in the article Use italics for <i>et al</i> and for <i>Wistar</i> throughout the article. | |
| <u>Optional</u> comments | | |

Reviewer Details:

| Name: | Dr. Sangita H. Shukla |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Department, University & Country | Department of Pharmacognosy and Phytocemistry, Indukaka Ipcowala College of Pharmacy, India |