



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJPR_6989
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative and synergistic phytochemical composition and antioxidant activities of Psidium guajava (stem bark) and Aloe barbadensis (leaf)
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Synergism is defined as value/effect greater than the sum of the individual. The data presented, however, fails to justify this principle. Hence the interpretation of the results is erroneous.</p> <p>Eg: Antioxidant property- A.vera:3.82, Guava: 0.056 and Combination: 0.15 (As per Table 2). Though in the text the figure stated is 0.5 for the combination. Nevertheless both are less than the additive figure. It appears therefore there is antagonism and not synergism.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	As the interpretation of results is not supported by the data, the paper needs thorough rewriting.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer