



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJPR_8980
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of low radiation dose on cisplatin induced hepato- testicular damage in male rats.</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	In this study, the presentation is interesting by describing the relation or not of certain substances after given cisplatin, radiation or both – the investigation was performed in certain rats. These used animals seem that had no tumors to see whether similar data are these results. I would suggest the authors to write GPT, GSH, FSH, Fe, Zn, Cu analytically.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Note: Anonymous Reviewer**