#### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



#### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJPR_10499
Title of the Manuscript:	MORPHOLOGY, FUNCTIONAL AND PASTING PROPERTIES OF GINGER STARCHES PREPARED BY FOUR DIFFERENT DRYING METHODS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



# **SDI Review Form 1.6**

# **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer,
		correct the manuscript and highlight that
		part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that
Compulsory REVISION comments		authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
	This paper reports the results of "MORPHOLOGY,	
	FUNCTIONAL AND PASTING PROPERTIES OF	
	GINGER STARCHES PREPARED BY FOUR	
	DIFFERENT DRYING METHODS". It has been noticed	
	that the work is valuable and makes use of the cheap	
	means for development of the geo-polymer adhesives.	
	Therefore, this paper is recommended for publication after	
	making the necessary corrections and improvements	
	suggested below:	
	1. The ABSTRACT should be short and concise. It	
	should not have the subheadings. I think, the	
	abstract will be complete if the authors merge the	
	AIMS and RESULTS into a single paragraph.	
	Kindly, remove the Place and Duration of the	
	Study, Methodology and Conclusions. Rest of the	
	information will be sufficient for a good abstract.	
	2. The relevant literature is not properly cited in the	
	main text. Some claims have been made without	
	references. For reference, consider the following	

# SCIENCEDOMAIN international





# **SDI Review Form 1.6**

	paper:	
	<ul> <li>M. Y. Naz, S. A. Sulaiman, B. Ariwahjoedi and K. Z. Ku Shaari, <i>Characterization of Modified Tapioca Starch Solutions and Their Sprays for High Temperature Coating Applications</i>. The Scientific World Journal, 2014. 2014: p. Article ID 375206, 10 pages.</li> <li>Grammatical and spellings mistakes are often and should be removed after reading the paper carefully.</li> </ul>	
	4. I think the authors who have contributed in this research would have been listed in authors list, therefore, the section 'AUTHORS CONTRIBUTION' soon after conclusions should be removed.	
Optional/General comments		

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<u>Muhammad Yasin Naz</u>
<b>Department, University &amp; Country</b>	Department of Fundamental and Applied Sciences, Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS, Malaysia