



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJPR_8782
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>ANTIDIABETIC ACTIVITY AND MODULATION OF ANTIOXIDANT STATUS BY OCIMUM CANUM IN STREPTOZOTOCIN-INDUCED DIABETIC RATS</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> ( <i>if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i> )
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The author used aquous throughout the manuscript. It needs to be corrected.</li> <li>2. The author should take critical look of some typographic errors, especially as pertains line spacing. Especially in the abstract, lines 39, 45, 52, 58, 71, 76, 77, 81, 99, 100, 117, 129, 132, 153, 156 and 211.</li> <li>3. There is no evidence of statistics in the tables and figures. Especially as it pertains error bars</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The references need thorough arrangement.</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The work is acceptable.	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Yakubu, O. E.
Department, University & Country	Department of Biochemistry, Cross River University of Technology, Calabar, Nigeria.