



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJPR_10499
Title of the Manuscript:	MORPHOLOGY, FUNCTIONAL AND PASTING PROPERTIES OF GINGER STARCHES PREPARED BY FOUR DIFFERENT DRYING METHODS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>I suggest some minor changes prior to acceptance as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) In the Abstract Methodology, please correct: <i>Z. Officinale</i> by <i>Z. officinale</i>. 2) Line 65: please replace “yam” by “ginger”. 3) In the Methodology, I suggest that the authors insert the used references in itens 2.2.1, 2.2.2.1, 2.2.2.2, 2.2.2.3, 2.2.2.5, 2.2.2.7 and 2.2.2.8. 4) Please, in the References, I suggest the name of periodic, abbreviation or full name. 	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>Authors make a good manuscript with study of ginger starch and different drying methods. The paper is clearly written and the objectives were achieved. Thus the present work is an important scientific contribution and to be encouraged.</p>	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer