



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJPR_6529
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Fresh and decaying stem juice of <i>Musa acuminata x balbisiana</i> (<i>Musa paradisiaca</i>) reduce the force and rate of contractility of an isolated perfused rabbit heart</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research Paper</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> ( <i>if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i> )
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>We decided this article must be okey in your journal.</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Note: Anonymous Reviewer**