SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research**
Manuscript Number:	**2013_BJPR_8495**
Title of the Manuscript:	**FORMULATION and EVALUATION of OPTIMIZED CLOTRIMAZOLE EMULGEL FORMULATIONS**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Mention the reason for choosing clotrimazole as a model drug in the "introduction" section explaining in brief the pharmaceutical challenges associated with its topical delivery. 2. Mention the statistical analysis method used in the "material and method" section giving a brief description of the same. 3. Page 11 line 289 –clotrimazole is a hydrophobic drug. Why does the conclusion mentions the delivery of hydrophilic drugs in water soluble gel bases.	
Minor REVISION comments	1. Format should be reorganized. Many words are joined in the text are therefore needs to be corrected. E.g. Page 1, line 29 "emulsiondby 2. Page 4 in the invitro release studies mention the pH of the dissolution medium and give reference for taking 25% DMF in 0.02 HCl as a dissolution media for the experimental study. 3. Page 5 line 160 needs to be corrected. 4. Page 7 section: invitro release study: explain the role of liquid paraffin and emulsifying agent on the results obtained in the invitro release study 5. Page 8 section "kinetic analysis of drug release---same text is used as in the 'material and method 'section. Also in the same section explain the reason the mechanism of release and the probable reason for a different release mechanism showed by f3 and f7. 6. Page 10 section "multifactorial design" ----repetition of text as in the 'material and method' section 7. All references should be reconsidered. 8. Table 5: no standard deviation applied. 9. Figure 7: add error bars in the figure after applying standard deviation to the results	

SDI Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments	None	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Zeenat Iqbal**
Department, University & Country	**Department of Pharmaceutics, Faculty of Pharmacy,Jamia Hamdard, New Delhi-110062,India**