



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJPR_7667
Title of the Manuscript:	Hepatotoxicity of Ethanol Extract of Adenium obesum Stem Bark in Wistar rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	There are some typing and grammatical mistakes, and also missing words, e.g. The ethanol extraction was by the use of 21 L of ethanol	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1- Why female rats were used? 2- Usually, non-significant change used if we need to show there is no effect instead of non-significant increase or decrease 	
Optional/General comments	The present article is good and can be accepted after minor revision.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ahmed E. Abdel Moneim
Department, University & Country	Lecturer of Physiology Faculty of Science Helwan University-Ain Helwan, 11795, Cairo, Egypt.