

# SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org

#### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJPR_7667
Title of the Manuscript:	Hepatotoxicity of Ethanol Extract of Adenium obesum Stem Bark in Wistar rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

## **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)



## SDI Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should
Compulsory REVISION	A total of 12 female rats may be too small samples for this experiment.	write his/her feedback here)
comments	A total of 12 female rats may be too small samples for this experiment.	
comments	Why didn't the author choose male rats, just use female rats ?	
	Whether 14-day period is a short time for the subchronic toxicity in the hepar.	
	Histopathological lesions should be supplied for all groups.	
	Photos of the liver of each group should be shown.	
	The rats in control group were all given 2mL distilled in water placebo?	
	We cannot see the production of medicinal plants in this paper, how to use them in the	
	next experiment.	
	How did the powdered medicine dissolve in the water? 5000mg/kg was not easy to dissolve, in my opinion.	
	In Fig.1, we found that the initial weight is not the same, so different from each group.	
	180.80 ± 4.55 g was different from Fig.1.	
Minor REVISION	ALT, AST and ALP levels in liver tissues may be tested, also.	
comments	In Fig. 1, figure legends were not clear, p<0.05 with little explanation.	
	In Fig. 2, AST SD values were so high, just 3 rats in each group.	
Optional/General	All the figures were not pretty, you may focus on making them.	
comments		

#### Note: Anonymous Reviewer