



**SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1**

**PART 1:**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJPR_7085
Title of the Manuscript:	Optimization of the Cultural parameters for Improved Production of Antimicrobial Metabolites by Streptomyces gulbargensis DAS 131T

**PART 2:**

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Line no. 68 - Use capital alphabet for s in "16s ....."</li> <li>2. Line no. 70 - maintain no spacing btwn "DQ 317411"</li> <li>3. Line 80 - Kindly specify your strain name properly - because yr GenBank accession name shows yr strain as "DAS 131" BUT HERE IN YR TEXT the author have use it as "DAS 131<sup>T</sup>". Plz clarify. This is to avoid confusion to readers. <b>Which is the real strain designation need to clarify ????????????</b></li> <li>4. Line 82- temp is 37<sup>o</sup> C while in line 154- It is 35<sup>o</sup> C. ??</li> <li>5. LINE 86- RPM IS 150 BUT IN LINE 154 - ITS 250 RPM . ????????????</li> <li>6. Line 85- p H is 7.2 but in line 156 - it is p H 7 . WHY ? ?</li> <li>7. It looks as if some sentence are copied from some text and other sentence are taken from some sources..... need to follow an uniformity in the text as well as in the experiment..... <b>consultation with senior author is need</b></li> <li>8. The experiment has been changed drastically compared to the previous version- was there sufficient time to carry out the experiment during the course.... if so then ok.</li> <li>9. Purification of the compound - was it sufficient only by column chromatography to get a pure compound ??</li> <li>10. References were not uniformly maintained, need to check to improve the quality so that readers does get confused which helps in knowledge dissemination.</li> <li>11. <b>Conclusion still needs improvement. Would suggest to consult some senior authors of the paper to provide a sound and attractive conclusion for such a novel work as claimed by the author.</b></li> <li>12. <b>Prefer to see the revised version.</b></li> </ol> <p>Some of the Responses given were not satisfied.</p>	

**Note: Anonymous Reviewer**