



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_BJPR_20418</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Study of preventive effectiveness of Nigella sativa L. against rat kidney stones, generated by ethylene glycol</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<b>The paper is very poorly written in terms of language and grammar. Although this by itself should not form a basis for assessing the merit of the paper, however, in the present case I feel that it is very difficult for the reader to understand.</b>  <b>It is recommended that the authors rewrite the article with some language help, and resubmit.</b>	It was corrected.
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		