



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJPR_20418
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of preventive effectiveness of Nigella sativa L. against rat kidney stones, generated by ethylene glycol
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The article is well written and the obtained pathological observations indicate that administration of Nigella sativa acquires therapeutic effectiveness against kidney stones and therefore, it can be claimed that its variants can be utilized in the prevention and therapy of kidney stones and oxalate crystals.</p> <p>There are a few comments on this article:</p> <p><u>Introduction:</u></p> <p>At line-13 of the second paragraph of introduction, "possess therapeutic including analgesic" should be corrected to "possess therapeutic effects including analgesic".</p> <p><u>Materials and Methods:</u></p> <p><u>2.3. Preventive Group of Nigella sativa L. ©:</u></p> <p>At lines-1, 2 of this subheading, "Furthermore, 1 percent Ethylene Glycol was administered 1 ml of Nigella sativa L. orally (alongside 750 mg/kg Nigella sativa L. powder)" should be corrected to "In addition to 1 percent Ethylene Glycol, 1 ml of Nigella sativa L. orally (alongside 750 mg/kg Nigella sativa powder) were administered.</p> <p><u>Results and Discussion:</u></p> <p><u>3.2. Results of Biochemical Analyses of Urine Samples:</u></p>	<p>OK. It was done.</p> <p>OK. It was done.</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>At lines-1, 2 of the second paragraph of this subheading, "Indicates citrate level of urine samples in different stages of the research. This level decreased in the negative groups" should be corrected to "Regarding the citrate level of urine samples in different stages of the research, this level decreased in the negative groups".</p> <p>At line-5 of the 8th paragraph, "an the level" should be corrected to "and the level".</p> <p>At line-1 of the 11th paragraph, "sudden t increase" should be corrected to "sudden increase".</p> <p><u>Ethical Approval:</u></p> <p>The title "Etical Approval" should be corrected to "Ethical Approval".</p> <p>At line-2 of this heading, "where" should be corrected to "were".</p> <p><u>References:</u></p> <p>At line-2 of the reference-6, "thymohydroquinone-inhibiteecosanoid" should be corrected to "thymohydroquinone inhibite ecosanoid".</p>	<p>OK. It was done.</p> <p>OK. It was done.</p> <p>OK. It was done.</p> <p>OK. It was done.</p> <p>OK. It was done.</p> <p>OK. It was done.</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	No	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	No	