



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJPR_21817
Title of the Manuscript:	Inhibition of diabetes-related enzymes by Acanthus montanus leaf extracts
Type of the Article	Original research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The objective of this study was to determine the in-vitro antidiabetic potentials of <i>Acanthus montanus</i>. The objective is too long</p> <p>The invitro effects of the extracts on the enzyme activities are reported- This study cannot be extrapolated and claimed that it has a potent antidiabetic effect. Rather the title can be changed</p> <p>How one can say that amylase is a diabetes related enzyme? The paper needs to be rewritten with more scientific basis and rationale</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dhastagir sheriff
Department, University & Country	Benghazi University, Benghazi, Libya