

Evaluation of antigen preparation methods for polyclonal antibody production against *Streptomyces* spp.

Kusavadee Sangdee^{1*}, Petcharat Thummabenjapone², Aphidech Sangdee³

¹ Preclinical Division, Faculty of Medicine, Mahasarakham University, Thailand 44150

² Department of Plant Science and Agricultural Resources, Faculty of Agriculture, Khon Kaen University, Thailand 40002

³ Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Mahasarakham University, Thailand 44150

ABSTRACT

Aims: To determine the optimum antigen preparation method for producing specific polyclonal antibody specific for *Streptomyces* species.

Study design: Experimental study.

Place and Duration of Study: Faculty of Science, between May 2011 and December 2011.

Methodology: Two *Streptomyces* isolates were used for antisera production. The sonication method was chosen for antigen preparation. Antigen suspensions were emulsified with incomplete Freund's adjuvant and 1 ml was injected into rabbit thigh muscle for the first, second and third immunization. The fourth and fifth immunizations were injected intravenously. Antibody titer, sensitivity detection limit and specificity were measured using indirect-ELISA. *Streptomyces* antigen mixed with soil was investigated.

Results: The 5 min sonication method gave a higher protein than other test methods, so this protocol was chosen for all subsequent work. The sonicated *Streptomyces* antigen was used for polyclonal antibody production. At week 7, the rabbit anti-*Streptomyces* sp. isolate STPR78 antibody and anti-*Streptomyces* sp. isolate STPR84 antibody gave maximum titers at 1:64000 and 1:32000, respectively. The sensitivity detection limit of the anti-*Streptomyces* antibodies was 125 ng and 250 ng, respectively. Both anti-*Streptomyces* antibodies were also found to have good specificity. Minimal cross-reactivity was detected with antigens from other test bacteria. Regrettably however, neither antibody was capable of detecting *Streptomyces* spp. STPR78 or STPR84 in inoculated soil.

Conclusion: A specific and high titer of polyclonal antibody was produced using sonicated antigen.

Keywords: polyclonal antibody, *Streptomyces* spp., antigen preparation, sonication method

1. INTRODUCTION

Streptomyces is a genus of Gram positive bacteria found predominantly in soil and decaying vegetation. These bacteria produce many clinically useful antibiotics (Atta et al., 2009; Deboer and Dietz, 1976; Chater, 2006) and enzymes used in industry (Chellapandi and Jani, 2008; Jayasree et al., 2009; Vishnupriya et al., 2010). *Streptomyces* species are also important in controlling soil-borne pathogens by antibiosis (Shimizu et al., 2009; Rothrock and Gottlieb, 1981; Yuan and Crawford, 1995).

Traditional methods of detecting and monitoring bacteria in their natural habitats include cultural techniques, examination of morphology, and pigment production analysis. However, soil samples contain many other microorganisms. The traditional approach therefore involves multiple procedures, and is very time-consuming (Williams et al., 1983). Detection of *S. ipomoea* in its natural environment, for example, takes up to 4 weeks (Moyer and Echandi, 1986). In studies seeking to discover new antibiotics produced by soilborne *Streptomyces* spp., isolation of the bacteria requires multiple procedures and takes 25 days or more (Ceylan et al., 2008).

An alternative strategy for detection would be to use immunological methods. Immunodiffusion, indirect-ELISA, immunofluorescence, and the immunomagnetic capture technique have all successfully been used for the identification, isolation or tracking of specific microorganisms in the environment (Cross and Spooner, 1963; Kirby and Rybicki, 1986; Schlöter et al., 1995). Cross and Spooner (1963) used a serological technique to identify and compare antibiotic producing isolates of *Streptomyces*. Kirby (1986) used indirect-ELISA to determine the relationships between *Streptomyces* spp. Wipat et al. (1994) produced monoclonal antibody against *Streptomyces lividans* spore surface antigens and employed this monoclonal antibody for isolating *Streptomyces* from soil using the immunocapture technique. Also, Bartosch et al. (2002) used a monoclonal antibody specific to a nitrite oxidation enzyme for the detection of *Nitrospira*-like bacteria in various soils. In the present study, we sought to determine the optimum antigen preparation method for producing polyclonal antibody specific for *Streptomyces* spp. and apply these antibodies to monitor the bacteria in soil using indirect-ELISA.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Test strains

Streptomyces sp. isolate STPR78 and *Streptomyces* sp. isolate STPR84 were used for antisera production. Twenty two isolates of unknown *Streptomyces* spp., *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PDMZnCd 2003, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (isolated from environment) *Bacillus cereus* ATCC11778 and *Enterobacter* sp. (isolated from papaya wilt) were used for testing antibody specificity.

2.2 *Streptomyces* protein preparation

Streptomyces sp. isolate STPR78 and *Streptomyces* sp. isolate STPR84 were inoculated into 100 ml arginine glycerol mineral salt broth and incubated for 3-5 days at 30°C on a rotary shaker at 150 rpm. The cells were harvested by centrifugation (Tomy MX-301, Japan) at 9,100 g for 15 min. The pellet was washed three times with phosphate-buffer saline (PBS; pH 7.4). Three antigen preparation methods, boiling at 100°C for 5 and 10 min, autoclaving at 121°C for 5 and 10 min, and sonication for 1 and 5 min using a High Intensity Ultrasonic Processor (Model VCX 750, USA), were used to prepare *Streptomyces* protein antigen. The proteins were adjusted to equal concentrations before measuring on 12% SDS-PAGE.

71 2.3 Antigen preparation for immunization

72 The 5 min sonication method was chosen for antigen preparation. The *Streptomyces* pellets
73 were resuspended in PBS (pH 7.4), followed by sonication with a microprobe. This was
74 done on ice for a total of 5 min in 10s bursts with 2s gaps for cooling. The sonicated
75 solutions were centrifuged at 9,100 g for 5 min and filtered through a 0.2 µm filter. Protein in
76 the sample was measured using a Micro-Volume UV-Vis Spectrophotometer (Nanodrop
77 2000, USA) at optical density 280 nm. The samples were diluted to 0.5 mg/ml with PBS for
78 antibody production.

79 2.4 Polyclonal Antibody Production

80 Antigen suspension (0.5 mg/ml) was emulsified as a 1:1 (v/v) mixture with incomplete
81 Freund's adjuvant (Difco Laboratories, USA), and 1 ml was injected into the thigh muscle of
82 a New Zealand white rabbit on days 0, 7 and 14 respectively. Rabbits were injected
83 intravenously at the marginal ear with 1 ml of antigen suspension (0.5 mg/ml) on days 21
84 and 28. Blood (10 ml) was taken from the central ear vein one week before immunization
85 and weekly intervals after the fourth immunization. Blood continued to be collected until 5
86 weeks after the last immunization. Animal experimental procedures were performed with
87 approval of the Animal Ethics Research Committee of Mahasarakham University (MSU-
88 0013/2554).

89 2.5 Measurement of rabbit anti-*Streptomyces* titer using Indirect-ELISA

90 Polystyrene microtitre plates (SPL life sciences, Korea) were coated with twofold dilutions of
91 the antigen samples in coating buffer for 16-18 h, the dilution ranging from 0 to 5 µg. The
92 plates were washed three times using 0.05% (v/v) Tween 20 in PBS. Nonspecific binding
93 was blocked using 3% (w/v) bovine serum albumin in PBS (BSA-PBS) for 2 h at 37°C. After
94 washing, twofold dilutions of test serum in BSA-PBS (ranging from 1:1000 to 1:64000) were
95 added in duplicate and incubated for 1 h at 37°C. Following washing, goat anti-rabbit IgG
96 antibody conjugated with alkaline phosphatase diluted in BSA-PBS (dilution 1: 20000) was
97 added and incubated for 1 h at 37°C. The substrate *p*-nitrophenyl phosphate (1mg/ml) in
98 10% (w/v) diethanolamine, pH 9.8 was added and incubated at room temperature for 45 min
99 to 1 h. The reaction was stopped using 50 µl 3M KOH. The *p*-nitrophenol released was
100 measured by optical density at 405 nm using an ELISA reader (Opsys MRTM Microplate
101 Reader, USA). The antibody titer was defined as the reciprocal of the dilution that resulted in
102 an absorbance value more than the mean of the normal serum negative control.

103 2.6 Validation and reproducibility of the Indirect-ELISA

104 Validation and reproducibility of the Indirect-ELISA data was expressed as the coefficient of
105 variability (CV). The CV was calculated between plates (inter-assay variation) and within the
106 same plate (intra-assay variation) for both anti-*Streptomyces* antibodies. For inter-assay CV,
107 anti-*Streptomyces* antibodies were tested on five different plates on different occasions, and
108 for intra-assay CV, they were measured in duplicate for 15 samples.

109 2.7 Antibody sensitivity detection limit

110 The antibody sensitivity detection limit was evaluated by testing a serial dilution of antigen,
111 ranging from 5 µg to 62.5 ng, using indirect-ELISA. This assay was performed ten times to
112 confirm the reproducibility of results. If the mean value of absorbance was more than that of

113 the negative control, this was defined as positive. Duncan's multiple range test was
114 performed to check differences in absorbance.

115 2.8 Antibody specificity test

116 Antibody specificity was evaluated by testing the 22 isolates of *Streptomyces* spp.,
117 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PDMZnCd 2003, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Bacillus cereus*
118 ATCC11778 and the *Enterobacter* sp. *Streptomyces* spp. were cultured on half PDA at 30°C
119 for 5-7 days, while the other test species of bacteria were grown on nutrient agar at 37°C for
120 24 h. One loopful of test bacteria was mixed with 500 µl of coating buffer, and then sonicated
121 using a High Intensity Ultrasonic Processor (Model VCX 750, USA) fitted with a microprobe.
122 This was done on ice for a total of 5 min in 10s bursts with 2s gaps for cooling. The
123 sonicated solution was centrifuged at 9,100 g for 5 min. The supernatant was used as
124 protein antigen for indirect-ELISA detection as described above. Cut off values were
125 determined as 1.5 times the mean absorbance from all tested samples.

126 2.9 Detection of *Streptomyces* antigen in soil by Indirect-ELISA

127 Antigen of *Streptomyces* sp. isolate STPR 78 and *Streptomyces* sp. isolate STPR 84 were
128 each mixed with a 1:1 (v/v) mixture of soil suspension in coating buffer. These were then
129 serially diluted from 25 to 3.125 µg using twofold dilution intervals. Indirect-ELISA was
130 performed as described above.

131 132 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

133 134 3.1 *Streptomyces* protein preparation

135 Three *Streptomyces* protein preparation methods were tested to determine the optimum
136 procedure for antibody production. Protein profiles were observed using protein generated
137 from the autoclaving and sonication method, but not with the boiling method. Moreover, the 5
138 min sonication method gave more protein than either the 1 min sonication or the autoclaving
139 methods (Fig. 1). Therefore, the 5 min sonication method was chosen for *Streptomyces*
140 antigen preparation. This chosen sonication method resembles one previously reported.
141 Kirby and Rybicky (1986) successfully produced specific polyclonal antibodies from
142 sonicated antigen of *Streptomyces*. Moreover, this sonication method is widely used to
143 prepare antigen for antibody production from other microorganisms such as *Mycobacterium*
144 *bovis* (Wood et al., 1988), *Mycoplasma hyopneumoniae* and *Mycoplasma hyorhinis* (Hampl
145 et al., 1981). In addition, the sonication method is a reliable method of preparing antigens for
146 diagnostic tests. For example, Mallqui et al. (2000) showed that antigen prepared by
147 sonication was more suitable for the immunoblot detection of *Bartonella bacilliformis* than
148 antigen prepared by the glycine extraction method.

149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157




Fig. 1. Antigen protein profile for three antigen preparation methods as determined by 12% polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis; broad range protein marker (M), antigen boiled for 5 min (1) and 10 min (2), antigen autoclaved for 5 min (3) and 10 min (4), and antigen sonicated for 1 min (5) and 5 min (6)

3.2 Measurement of rabbit anti-*Streptomyces* titer using Indirect-ELISA

Rabbit anti-*Streptomyces* sp. isolate STPR78 antibody and anti-*Streptomyces* sp. isolate STPR84 antibody titers were measured by reaction with whole cell sonicated proteins of *Streptomyces* spp. antigen at antigen concentrations ranging from 5 to 0 µg. Antibody titers sharply increased (week 5 and 6), both types giving a maximum titer at week 7. The highest titer of anti-*Streptomyces* sp isolate STPR78 antibody was 1:64000, while the highest titer of anti-*Streptomyces* sp. isolate STPR84 antibody was 1:32000 (Table 1). These differences in antibody titer may simply be due to animal variability (Aref and Saeed, 2012). Antibody titer dropped rapidly 3-5 weeks following the last immunization, possibly due to low levels of circulating antigen in the blood stream (Quan et al., 2009).

184 **Table 1. Antibody titer of anti-*Streptomyces* sp. isolate STPR78 and STPR84 antibody**
 185 **after the fourth immunization**
 186

	Antigen concentration (μg)				
	5	2.5	1.25	0.625	0.3125
Anti-<i>Streptomyces</i> sp. isolate STPR78					
Serum 1 (Week 5)	8,000	4,000	1,000	<1,000	<1,000
Serum 2 (Week 6)	16,000	8,000	4,000	2,000	<1,000
Serum 3 (Week 7)	64,000	32,000	16,000	8,000	4,000
Serum 4 (Week 8)	16,000	8,000	4,000	2,000	<1,000
Serum 5 (Week 9)	ND*	4,000	2,000	1,000	<1,000
Serum 6 (Week 10)	ND*	4,000	2,000	1,000	<1,000
Anti-<i>Streptomyces</i> sp. isolate STPR84					
Serum 1 (Week 5)	8,000	2,000	<1,000	<1,000	<1,000
Serum 2 (Week 6)	16,000	8,000	2,000	1,000	<1,000
Serum 3 (Week 7)	32,000	16,000	8,000	4,000	1,000
Serum 4 (Week 8)	16,000	8,000	4,000	2,000	1,000
Serum 5 (Week 9)	ND*	4,000	1,000	<1,000	<1,000
Serum 6 (Week 10)	ND*	4,000	1,000	<1,000	<1,000

187 ND = Not determined

188


189 **3.3 Validation and reproducibility of Indirect-ELISA**

190 The inter-assay CV was 1.84% and 2.21%, and the intra-assay CV was 4.07 and 3.34 for
 191 anti-*Streptomyces* sp. isolate STPR78 antibody and anti-*Streptomyces* sp. isolate STPR84
 192 antibody.

193 **3.4 Antibody sensitivity detection limit and specificity test**

194 The sensitivity detection limits of anti-*Streptomyces* sp. isolate STPR78 antibody and anti-
 195 *Streptomyces* sp. isolate STPR84 antibody were 125 ng and 250 ng, respectively. Both anti-
 196 *Streptomyces* antibodies were also found to have good specificity. No cross-reactivity was
 197 detected with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PDMZnCd 2003, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*,
 198 *Bacillus cereus* ATCC11778, *Enterobacter* sp. or 18 of the 22 *Streptomyces* spp. based on
 199 the indirect-ELISA cut off value [1.5 times of mean absorbance value from all tested samples
 200 (OD₄₀₅ = 0.10)]. Both anti-*Streptomyces* sp. isolate STPR78 antibody and anti-*Streptomyces*
 201 sp. isolate STPR84 antibody cross-reacted with the *Streptomyces* isolates SRF-3, SRF-4,
 202 SRF-12 and SRF-13 (Fig. 2). These results show that the cross-reactivity of anti-

203 *Streptomyces* sp. isolate STPR78 antibody and anti-*Streptomyces* sp. isolate STPR84
 204 antibody is 14.29% (4/28). This cross-reaction suggests the environmental *Streptomyces*
 205 isolates are closely related to the *Streptomyces* strains used for immunization. This problem
 206 could potentially be resolved by cross-absorption of anti-*Streptomyces* antibody with false
 207 positive antigen to reduce non-specific signals. For example, the false positive signal of
 208 specific antiserum against *S. ipomoea* was reduced by cross-absorbing the antiserum with
 209 nonpathogenic *Streptomyces* that frequently found in the environment (Moyer and Echandi,
 210 1986). Moreover, the reduction of cross-reactivity could be resolved by using isolated cell
 211 wall antigens, since different *Streptomyces* strains have different cell wall compositions
 212 (Cummins, 1962). Whole cell sonicates, by contrast, contain identical cytoplasmic antigen
 213 (Kwapinski, 1963).



214

215 **Fig. 2. Antibody specificity of anti-*Streptomyces* sp. isolate STPR78 antibody and anti-**
 216 ***Streptomyces* sp. isolate STPR84 antibody as determined by Indirect-ELISA.**

217

218 3.5 Detection of *Streptomyces* antigen in soil by Indirect-ELISA

219 Tests were performed to assess the feasibility of using the rabbit antibodies for monitoring
 220 *Streptomyces* spp. from the soil by indirect-ELISA. Whole cell sonicated protein antigens
 221 were mixed with soil suspension before coating on microtiter plates. None of the antigen
 222 concentrations were detected by either of the rabbit antibodies. Antigen at a concentration of
 223 5 µg was used as a positive control. One possible explanation for this result is the presence
 224 of inhibitors in the soil such as humic acid (Le et al., 2003). The chemical composition of
 225 humic acid is highly complex and can interact with protein antigen (Otten et al., 1997).
 226 Moreover, organic matter in the soil can reduce the quantity of antigen recovered, resulting
 227 in loss of sensitivity in immunological assays (Otten et al., 1997). Our results resemble those
 228 of Wipat et al. (1994), who found that naturally occurring *Streptomyces* reduce the sensitivity

of monoclonal antibody specific for *Streptomyces lividans*. To increase the sensitivity of this detection technique, enrichment of the microorganism may be required. For example, Bartosch et al. (2002) successfully detected *Nitrospira*-like bacteria in soil samples through enrichment of the bacterial population using an immunoblot technique.

4. CONCLUSION

The present study describes a means of generating *Streptomyces* antigen that elicits high titers of specific polyclonal antibody. Our method involves sonicating bacterial cells in 10s bursts (with 2s gaps for cooling) for a total of 5 min. The anti-*Streptomyces* antibodies generated can detect protein antigen if it is free from soil contaminants. For the detection of *Streptomyces* in soil samples, optimization of the technique would be required. Soil samples could be cleaned up prior to indirect-ELISA, or immunoanalysis could be performed.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Financial support for this study was provided by the Division of Research Facilitation and Dissemination at Mahasarakham University. The authors are grateful to Dr. Woranan Nakbanpote for supplying *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PDMZnCd 2003, and Dr. Tim Cushnie for suggestions on the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Aref, N-EM., Saeed, M. (2012). Generation of high-titer of neutralizing polyclonal antibodies against heat-stable enterotoxin (Sta) of enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli*. Vaccine, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2012.06.064>.
- Atta, H.M., Dabour, S.M., Desoukey, S.G. (2009). Sparsomycin antibiotic production by *Streptomyces* sp. AZ-NIOFD1: Taxonomy, fermentation, purification and biological activities. American-Eurasian J. Agric. & Environ. Sci., 5(3), 368-377.
- Bartosch, S., Hartwig, C., Spieck, E., Bock, E. (2002). Immunological detection of *Nitrospira*-like bacteria in various soils. Microb. Ecol., 43, 26-33.
- Ceylan, O., Okmen, G., Ugur, A. (2008). Isolation of soil *Streptomyces* as source antibiotics active against antibiotic-resistant bacteria. EurAsian. J. BioSci., 2, 73-82.
- Chater, K.F. (2006). *Streptomyces* inside-out: a new perspective on the bacteria that provide us with antibiotics. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B., 361, 761-768.
- Chellapandi, P., Jani, H.M. (2008). Production of endoglucanase by the native strains of *Streptomyces* isolates in submerged fermentation. Braz. J. Microbiol., 39, 122-127.
- Cross, T., Spooner, D.F. (1963). The serological identification of *Streptomyces* by agar gel diffusion techniques. J. Gen. Microbiol., 33, 275-282.
- Cummins, C.S. (1962). Chemical composition and antigenic structure of cell walls of *Corynebacterium*, *Mycobacterium*, *Nocardia*, *Actinomyces* and *Arthrobacter*. J. Gen. Microbiol., 28, 35-50.
- Deboer, C., Dietz, A. (1976). The description and antibiotic production of *Streptomyces hygroscopicus* var. *geldanus*. The Journal of Antibiotics, 29(11), 1182-1188.
- Haml, J., Tománek, J., Rodák, L., Kuksa, F., Sedláček, M. (1981). Preparation and testing of antigens for the demonstration of *Mycoplasma* antibodies in pigs by a solid-phase radioimmunoassay. Acta. Vet. Brno., 50, 67-72.
- Jayasree, D., Sandhya Kumari, T.D., Kavi Kishor, P.B., Vijaya Lakshmi, M., Lakshmi Narasu, M. (2009). Optimization of production protocol of alkaline protease by *Streptomyces pulveraceus*. Inter JRI Science and Technology, 1(2), 79-82.

279 Kirby, R., Rybicki, E. P. (1986). Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) as a means of
 280 taxonomic analysis of *Streptomyces* and related organisms. J. Gen. Microbiol., 132,
 281 1891-1894.
 282 Kwapinski, J.B. (1963). Antigenic structure of *Actinomycetales* VI. Serological relationships
 283 between antigenic fractions of *Actinomyces* and *Nocardia*. J. Bacteriol., 86, 179-186.
 284 Le, H.T.M., Szurdoki, F., Székács, A. (2003). Evaluation of an enzyme immunoassay for the
 285 detection of the insect growth regulator fenoxycarb in environmental and biological
 286 samples. Pest Manag. Sci., 59, 410-416.
 287 Mallqui, V., Speelmon, E.C., Verástegui, M., Maguiña-Vargas, C., Pinell-Salles, P. Lavarello,
 288 R., Gelgado, J., Kosek, M., Romero, S., Arana, Y., Gilman, R.H. (2000). Sonicated
 289 diagnostic immunoblot for Bartonellosis. Clin. Diagn. Lab. Immunol., 7(1), 1-5.
 290 Moyer, J.W., Echandi, E. (1986). Serological detection and identification of *Streptomyces*
 291 *ipomoea*. Plant Dis., 70(6), 516-518.
 292 Otten, W., Gilligan, C.A., Thornton, C.R. (1997). Quantification of fungal antigens in soil with
 293 a monoclonal antibody-based ELISA: Analysis and reduction of soil-specific bias.
 294 Phytopathology, 87, 730-736.
 295 Quan, J.H., Hassan, H.A., Cha, G-H., Shin, D-W., Lee, Y-H. (2009). Antigenemia and
 296 specific IgM and IgG antibody responses in rabbits infected with *Toxoplasma gondii*.
 297 Korean J. Parasitol., 47(4), 409-412.
 298 Rotherock, C.S., Gottlieb, D. (1981). Importance of antibiotic production in antagonism of
 299 selected *Streptomyces* species to two soil-borne plant pathogens. The Journal of
 300 Antibiotics, 34(7), 830-835.
 301 Schlöter, M., Abmus, B., Hartmann, A. (1995). The use of immunological methods to detect
 302 and identify bacteria in the environment. Biotech. Adv., 13, 75-90.
 303 Shimizu, M., Yazawa, S., Ushijima, Y. (2009). A promising strain of endophytic
 304 *Streptomyces* sp. for biological control of cucumber anthracnose. J. Gen. Plant.
 305 Pathol., 75, 27-36.
 306 Vishnupriya, B., Sundaramoorthi, C., Kalaivani, M., Selvam, K. (2010). Production of lipase
 307 from *Streptomyces griseus* and evaluation of bioparameters. Int. J. ChemTech Res.,
 308 2(3), 1380-1383.
 309 Williams, S.T., Goodfellow, M., Alderson, G., Wellington, E.M., Sneath, P.H., Sackin, M.J.
 310 (1983). Numerical classification of *Streptomyces* and related genera. J. Gen.
 311 Microbiol. 129, 1743-1813.
 312 Wipat, A., Wellington, E.M.H., Saunders, V.A. (1994). Monoclonal antibodies for
 313 *Streptomyces lividans* and their use for immunomagnetic capture of spores from soil.
 314 Microbiology, 140, 2067-2076.
 315 Wood, P. R., Ripper, J., Radford, A. J., Bundesen, P.G., Rylatt, D. B., Cottis, L. E., John, M.,
 316 Plackett, P. (1988). Production and Characterization of Monoclonal Antibodies
 317 Specific for *Mycobacterium bovis*. J. Gen. Microbiol., 134, 2599-2604.
 318 Yuan, W.M., Crawford, D.L. (1995). Characterization of *Streptomyces lydicus* WYEC108 as
 319 a potential biocontrol agent against fungal root and seed rots. Appl. Environ.
 320 Microbiol., 61(8), 3119-31.
 321
 322