



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM

PART A:

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2012/BMRJ/1605
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of antigen preparation methods for polyclonal antibody production against <i>Streptomyces</i> spp.
Manuscript received on (Date)	
Review comment submitted (Date)	

PART B:

	FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
For comments of Reviewer 1 and author's feed back	The authors have revised the manuscript. The suggestions made by this reviewer had been included in the revised version. There are minor points which have to be modified. Overall, the review now fit the requisites of the journal. Use sensitivity OR detection limit, but not a mix of both. Sensitivity detection limit is wrong. Usually, the antibody titer determined by ELISA is defined as the highest dilution giving an OD value higher than the mean of the negative control plus 1, 2 or 3 standard deviations. Otherwise, the number of false positive could be highly increased. Please, check your antibody titers calculating the titers as this reviewer suggest. THE AUTHORS HAVE INCLUDED IN THE MANUSCRIPT ALL THE SUGGESTIONS MADE BY THIS REVIEWER. THE PAPER CAN BE ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION AS IT IS.	Corrected. We have change the word “Sensitivity detection limit” to “Detection limit” throughout the manuscript with the reviewer’s suggestions (Abstract; Section 2.7, Page 3, line 111-112; Section 3.4, Page 6, line 195-196). Corrected. We have analyzed and calculated the data for antibody titer with the cutoff at 1.5 times the mean of the normal serum negative control plus 2 standard deviations. The results of both antibodies titers did not change (Section 2.5, Page 3, line 102-104). We added the word “antibody” after the word “rabbit anti-<i>Streptomyces</i>” in Section 2.5, page 3, line 89 and Section 3.2, Page 5, line 168.
For comments of Reviewer 2 and author's feed back		
For comments of Reviewer 3 and author's feed back		
For comments of Reviewer 4 and author's feed back		
For comments of Reviewer 5 and author's feed back		
For comments of Reviewer 6 and author's feed back		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer