



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	2013_BMRJ_5623
Title of the Manuscript:	Antibacterial activity of phenolic compounds derived from Ginkgo biloba sarcotestas against food-borne pathogens
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>This is an interesting paper testing the antibacterial activity of Ginkgo biloba sarcotestas-derived compounds.</p> <p>I think that the Authors should mention in the manuscript a recent article published on Molecules about the antibacterial/antifungal activities of compound from Ginkgo biloba against Salmonella enterica, Staphylococcus aureus and Aspergillus niger (Tao R, Wang CZ, Kong ZW. Antibacterial/antifungal activity and synergistic interactions between polyphenols and other lipids isolated from Ginkgo biloba L. leaves. Molecules. 7;18(2):2166-82. doi: 10.3390/molecules18022166). Indeed, the paper from Tao underlines that it would be interesting to know which compound of the mixture you have used in this study shows the highest antibacterial activity.</p> <p>Minor points:</p> <p>Page 2 line 36, page 4 line 103: "in vitro" should be written in italic;</p> <p>Page 4 line 111: the authors should add "C" after 37°;</p> <p>Page 6 line 149: the sentence should be corrected in "the values are expressed as mean...".</p>	<p>We mentioned the suggested article In the revised manuscript (lines 245-249).</p> <p>In the revised manuscript the term has been modified as suggested (line 45)</p> <p>The correction has been made (line 128)</p> <p>The correction has been made (line 162)</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments		
---	--	--