



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2012/BMRJ/2896
Title of the Manuscript:	Biodiversity and enterotoxigenic potential of staphylococci isolated from raw and spontaneously fermented camel milk.

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The introduction should be shortened by starting with line 5, the number of references should be reduced. The sentence starting in line 7 (introduction) should be rephrased. The sentences starting with "The detection..." and " Modern species identification..." should be removed. It is unclear why only some of the strains were tested for <i>nuc</i> gene. The PCR-reactions given under 2.3 should be given in a table telling the primer sequences, the specificity, the program and the corresponding reference. The information given in table 2 is limited, the table could be removed. A native speaker should read the manuscript.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The authors should use the German Umlaut for Lämmeler and Annemüller	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Because of the unusual origin camel the manuscript could be published after some reduction possibly as short communication.	
---	--	--

Note: Anonymous Reviewer