SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	**British Microbiology Research Journal**
Manuscript Number:	**2013_BMRJ_5533**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Antibiotic resistance patterns and plasmid profile of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates from human samples**
Type of the Article	**Research Paper**

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:
(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The identification methods used here is inadequate for *S. aureus* as another specie of Staphylococcus now has the same profile presented for *S. aureus* here. The cited reference** "Bergey's manual [5]." **in line 78 is different from the listed reference. Line 84 contradicts line 82 and I therefore suggest this amendment:** "All other discs used were prepared...". TABLE 1: **The author should be awared that MRSA should be taken as resistant to penicillin and ampicillin even if they appear susceptible *in vitro.*** **Figure 1: Why did you label 52, 53 and 54 on ladder, 1 and 2 respectively when you only have a row? This should be rectified. Your loading die also seems too much. You can see the effect more pronounced on the ladder used. Please show calibrations on your ladder.**	
Minor REVISION comments	**No statistical indices were used to elucidate the significance of the data and this is important.**	

Optional/General comments	The author should present information on the ethical clearance from their university	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Dr. Anthony Ayodeji Adegoke**
Department, University & Country	**Department of Microbiology, University of Uyo, Nigeria**