



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2012/BMRJ/2390
Title of the Manuscript:	In vitro screening of Herbal extracts and Antibiotics against Bacteria isolated from Fish products at retail outlets.

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The paper talks about bacterial fish pathogens but practically the bacteria examined are from harvested fish product, associated with spoiled fish. It is unclear what is the usage of the plants tested to treat fish diseases, as such were not examined in the paper.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Methods section: 2. d3H2O used (line 46) – should be sterile 3. reason for use of the different agars and chemicals added to agars should be explained. 4. What is nutrient agar and what is its source. 5. The identification of bacteria isolated – a reference should be provided which would indicate that indeed the biochemical methods applied are valid for the identification of the bacteria.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The purpose of this study is unclear, the authors talk about fish pathogens and then examine bacteria isolated from dead fish on the market. The paper needs to be rewritten with a different perspective, possibly use of plant extracts to reduce spoilage of processed fish meat.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer