SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Microbiology Research Journal**
Manuscript Number:	**2013_BMRJ_5806**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Virulence Markers and Antifungal Susceptibility Profile of *Candida glabrata*: An Emerging Pathogen.**
Type of the Article	**Research Paper**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. As scientific research paper and for a better presentation of the work author should attach few photograph showing phospholipase, proteinases and haemolysin production atleast.	
Minor REVISION comments	1. Reference no. 9. Titlr of the article should start with capital letter.e g., "Serum proteins...." instead of "serum protein....." 2. Reference no.20. standard procedure should be followed for writing Journal name.....APIMS ?? 3. Reference no.30. Book – publication year is not mentioned.	
Optional/General comments	Author did a good work on emerging Candida pathogen which is reflecting the necessity of species level identification even in rural setup for improvement of management of Candida infection.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Dr. Reshmi Chanda Roy.**
Department, University & Country	**Microbiology, SGRRIM & HS, Patel Nagar Dehradun., India**