



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_BMRJ_10772
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTIBIOTIC SUSCEPTIBILITY AND INTESTINAL EPITHELIAL CELL ADHESION PATTERN OF HOMOFERMENTING LACTIC ACID BACTERIA (LAB) ISOLATED FROM KUNU-ZAKI, A SPONTANEOUSLY FERMENTING NIGERIAN CEREAL BEVERAGE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. There are many typographic errors in the MS, should be removed adequately. 2. Nowhere in the MS results have been explained. Elaborate the section accordingly. 3. In citation (works cited), there are discrepancies in the citation style. In line 368- volume & no. Should be given as 7(1);, instead of as written there. 4. Line 386-Incorporate volume no. 5. Line 393- Incorporate pagenos referred. 6. Line 415- Incorporate pagenos referred. 7. Line 420- Incorporate pagenos referred. 8. Line 418 & 423- Write volume no as 94:&10: respectively, instead of as given.	1. Typographic errors have been addressed. 2. Results have been merged with discussion as suggested by another reviewer and explained. Citation style has been adjusted to Chicago Fifteenth edition. All review comments have been addressed
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	None	