



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_BMRJ_11066
Title of the Manuscript:	PLASMID BORNE RESISTANCE IN BACTERIA TO COMMON HOUSE HOLD SPICES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The authors should compare his/her findings with other published works. 2. Add a statistical analysis to your work 3. The author should separate the result and discuss in detail his finding 4. The author should recast his/her introduction to align with the topic 5. What is the significance of your findings to clinicians	1. Some changes have been made to add references to other published works. 2. Statistical analysis has been added appropriately. 3. Introduction of the paper has been modified as per reviewers suggestions. 4. This work would probably be helpful in understanding immune response to opportunistic pathogens in immunocompromised individuals since such individuals are prone to be sensitive to otherwise opportunistic bacteria
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. The author should check all the organisms and space them. 2. Punctuation marks should not be overlooked	1. Appropriate changes have been made.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The concept of this research is clear but the author is not paying good attention to it. If any ethical issue, please clarify	The manuscript has been revised appropriately.