



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_BMRJ_12421
Title of the Manuscript:	CARRIER RATE OF TYPHOID ORGAISMS IN ENUGU, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Please check spelling 'organisms' on the title I suggest you use SDI MS template for the ABSTRACT (Aims, Place and duration of study. Methodology, Results and Conclusion) Line9: suggest you reframe sentence to capture sample/specimen collection. Line12: (48males....) Line 30 all through the body of this work kindly change to SDI ref. pattern[] Line 50: you may define Vi antigen and EIA Line74: You can use either growth or 'colonies' Line: 83: Abbreviate '<i>Salmonella paratyphi</i>' Line 101: '<i>Salmonella enterica</i> serotype Typhi ' in italics Line 103: I suggest you reframe as' when untreated, typhoid fever 'then treatment process(optional). Line108-110 : where Nigeria or Globally pls kindly check or please give ref. You forgot conclusion. Kindly separate conclusion from discussion. Please kindly see SDI ref. pattern for the reference section.	All the reviewers' comments have been implemented.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		