



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	2013_BMRJ_5635
Title of the Manuscript:	CURRENT RHIZOBIUM TAXONOMY
Type of the Article	Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's Comments 1. Title uses the term RHIZOBIUM which is a specific genus of legume nodulating bacteria. The description in text is related to rhizobia, that is root nodule bacteria having ability to fix nitrogen. 2. The information in the text is incomplete and at places wrongly cited. 3. The list of genera in the abstract is wrong. Presently there are 98 species identified in 13 genera. <i>Devosia</i> is the current name of earlier described <i>Allorhizobium</i>. The genus <i>Ralstonia</i> is now <i>Cuprividus</i>. 4. The description in Methylobacterium branch is for all species, whereas it is a review describing taxonomy of nitrogen fixing bacteria so only those species which are N₂ fixing must be described. 5. The language is also not up to international standards. Hence, the review in current state cannot be recommended for publications. The author may be advised to upgrade the information by reading reviews and articles on rhizobial taxonomy by Anne Williams, J.P.W Young, N. Amarger and G. Laguerre and submit the Manuscript again.	OK! You're right, I totally agree with you. The title have been changed to "current taxonomy of rhizobia" because we included several genera. OK! We tried to do our best to actualise this paper and also to correct errors. It have been corrected We did our best to do all corrections, and hope the paper is now suitable to your expectance. We tried to correct the English version.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comment		