



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	2013_BMRJ_5635
Title of the Manuscript:	CURRENT RHIZOBIUM TAXONOMY
Type of the Article	Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	I feel the review is incomplete. In review articles latest references are imp. <i>Rhizobium</i> is a complex genera with lot of controversies. Author must give latest position of all genera. Eg. Acc to latest – rhizobium and Agrobacterium should be considered as separate genera in Rhizobium complex. Sinorhizobium and Ensifer – is another set which is under debate till today. Author has given the reference of 2003 on <i>S.meliloti</i> but not mentioned on its latest position. Authors have given the classification based on 16s rRNA under Current system, however mentioned about latest methods in the above paragraphs. It is better to give classification based on latest methods. Lines 75,76 - the authors mentioned that The following paragraphs will discuss the current classification of rhizobia with this new approach. Taxonomic disputes on genera have to be discussed in review articles.	We tried to do our best to correct and complete this paper, wishing it will be suitable for publication. Thank you for your remarks which have been considered
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The compilation of the information is good	