



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                       |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">British Microbiology Research Journal</a> |
| Manuscript Number:       | 2013_BMRJ_7943                                        |
| Title of the Manuscript: | BIOREMEDIATION OF INDUSTRIAL SOLID WASTES             |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article                             |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | Reviewer's comment                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <p><b>Introduction:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pleurotous spp. – Italics</li> <li>• Consistency in units:- For example – hours, h, hrs, hr – all abbreviations have been used.</li> <li>• grammas? – please explain.</li> </ul> <p><b>Results &amp; Discussion:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sub-topics – upper case / lower case both are used. No consistency.</li> <li>• The overall structure of the manuscript is very simple and needs thorough / elaborative result and discussion.</li> <li>• Result and discussion section is mixed with Tables. Needs to be separated.</li> <li>• Table 1 and 2 – pH not ph.</li> <li>• Conclusion should be more elaborative.</li> </ul> <p><b>References:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Typographical error. No consistency. For example:<br/>a) Placing 'comma' after author's names. Check: 5, 9, 16.<br/>b) Writing 'year' – sometimes it's after authors names, sometimes it's after</li> </ul> | <p>We agree, it was an error of the hand not the head.<br/>There is now consistent use of <b>hours</b>. This has been changed to an abbreviation. We meant to write gram (me) in the plural form.</p> <p>We have capitalized each word (except where not allowed) to ensure consistency.</p> <p>We have expanded the results and discussions</p> <p>We have separated the Tables /figure from result and discussion</p> <p>This has been corrected, It was an oversight.</p> <p>We have made the use of commas consistent.<br/>We have put the year immediately after</p> |



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                                         |                                                                                                                                                                              |                                                                                                                                                     |
|-----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                         | <p><b>journals name. Check: 5, 38.</b></p> <p><b>c) Journal's names should be shortened.</b></p> <p><b>The manuscript needs overall polishing and thorough revision.</b></p> | <p>authors' names in all.</p> <p>We have also shortened journal names and made other necessary amendments.</p> <p>Thanks for your contributions</p> |
| <b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments   |                                                                                                                                                                              |                                                                                                                                                     |
| <b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments |                                                                                                                                                                              |                                                                                                                                                     |