



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	2013_BMRJ_7943
Title of the Manuscript:	BIOREMEDIATION OF INDUSTRIAL SOLID WASTES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper is within the scope of the journal though it needs to be revised seriously. First of all some of the calculations used by the authors for which no references were given. The paper should be written more carefully. It needs to be more compact. Reference list should be uniform and under the scope of the journal. The introduction portion should be more informative. Though the paper is of good quality. And may be accepted after the revision.	We agree, the error of citation on the calculation was not intentional but has been corrected in moisture content determination. We have corrected our reference lists.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		