



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	2013_BMRJ_8004
Title of the Manuscript:	Physical and chemical aspects of Yarrowia lipolytica lipase immobilization by adsorption
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript entitled "Physical and chemical aspects of Yarrowia lipolytica lipase immobilization by adsorption" has touched an interesting research on a methodical order including the influences of water content, surface potentials and pH on immobilization. However, the part of the discussion is a little less. I recommend that we can not accept it in its present form, further analysis should be done. Some errors or unclear states are listed as follows: 1. Overall, this manuscript is reasonably well written. However, several parts are poorly written and need to be substantially revised before it can be considered for publication. Such as line 102 at page 5, osmometer is misspelled as " osmosmeter ", line 148 at page 6, " dissolute " should be "dissolve". 2. For figure 1, how can we see it is saturated or not? 3 Can authors discuss a lot of the results in table 3? 4. Can authors detail a bit of the results of figure 4 and 5?	1.we have corrected line 112 page 4 osmometer , line 180 page dissolve 2. When the electrical charge is not increased 3. Results in table 3 is discussed line 194 4 result of figure 4 and 5 is detailed line 199-206
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		