



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_BMRJ_9156
Title of the Manuscript:	Physico-chemical Quality Characteristics and Hazard Analysis Critical Control Points for the Production of millet-based Kunun zaki obtained from three production locations in Port Harcourt, Nigeria.

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
I genuinely feel that the authors have done research that is worthy of publication. However, the authors tendency to do the bare minimum and reluctance to improve the quality of the write up, leaves me no option but to reject the manuscript on academic merit. As stated in my previous submission, the results and discussion section needs an extensive revision but unfortunately the authors are not willing to comply.	Sir, i have done and effected all the necessary corrections as instructed. I would be glad if this article gets approved and published, but not REJECTED. Could you please if still necessary highlight on the areas in results and discussion to be worked on.