

Editorial Comment:

Following are my main suggestions for further reversion. More specific modifications and comments have been included in the manuscript.

However, the writing is not good.

1. The English needs thoroughly reversion. I made some, but not all modifications.
2. In the section of methods, more detailed information is needed in many cases and I have put some comments in the manuscript.
3. The discussion and conclusion should be related to the results obtained in the study.
4. Abstract needs simplify.

Author's Feedback:

Corrections have been made, more detailed information on methods, results, discussions have been provided.

Comments [D12]: 3ml of blood specimens were collected and dispensed into prepared 10ml thioglycollate broth. The remaining 2ml were use for other analysis (the work and result is not including in this paper). Therefore, 1ml blood specimen was collected in this paper.

Comments [D12]: Sero-typing of the isolates was carried-out using pure cultures of presumptive *Salmonella* isolates not using blood specimens. However, more detailed on the method have been provided.

Comments [D14]: The letters in superscript are the codes of the primers used, but they have been removed since they are not necessary.

Comments [D29]: The last paragraph under conclusion is recommendation, please.

Thank you for all your corrections.