

Reviewer Comments:

In the past couple of weeks I have devoted most of my precious time to evaluating manuscript number: 2014_BMRJ_9156. I have repeatedly advised the authors to improve the manuscript on more than three occasions. It is apparent that the authors do not want to heed my advice as the Results and discussion section especially line 51 to 71 of section 3.1 Isolation and Identification of microbial Isolates. The section has to re-written due to poor sentence construction and presentation of facts. It is inconsistent with the write up in section 3.3 Physico-chemical and proximate analysis, which in comparison is well written. If the authors cannot identify an obvious grammatical error in the first sentence of section 3.1 Isolation and Identification of microbial Isolates,(Line 51-52) reviewing the rest of the article is not worth my time. I cannot rewrite the whole section for them as this would be contrary to my academic principles.

Author Feedback:

I have re-written section 3.1 of my Revised paper as repeatedly instructed, and sent to you yesterday(22-03-14). Please pardon me for not doing this as when expected. Kindly re-visit section 3.1 of my paper for confirmation.