



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_BMRJ_9156
Title of the Manuscript:	Physico-chemical Quality Characteristics and Hazard Analysis Critical Control Points for the Production of millet-based Kunun zaki obtained from three production locations in Port Harcourt, Nigeria.

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments																				
1. Please refer to my comments on the revised paper_2014_BMRJ_9156_V2 which I hereby re-attached 2. Authors should un-merge table 3 3. There is problem in the data analyses/interpretation on tables 3&4 with respect to mean separation using Duncan's multiple range test (i.e. a,b,c etc) especially on lines 264 and 277. (a) Table 3 <table><tr><td></td><td>A</td><td>B</td><td>C</td><td>D</td></tr><tr><td>pH</td><td>4.93b</td><td>4.92a</td><td>4.93b</td><td>4.61a</td></tr></table> (the mean separation on the marked data is faulty when compared with others) (b) Table 4 <table><tr><td></td><td>A</td><td>B</td><td>C</td><td>D</td></tr><tr><td>TS(%)</td><td>6.13a</td><td>5.82a</td><td>8.30a</td><td>6.20a</td></tr></table> (i suspect that the mean separation here is faulty, is likely that the marked data should have significantly differed from the rest) The authors should painfully revisit the data interpretation.		A	B	C	D	pH	4.93b	4.92a	4.93b	4.61a		A	B	C	D	TS(%)	6.13a	5.82a	8.30a	6.20a	Okay. 4.93±0.41^{NS} 4.92±0.17^{NS} 4.93±0.01^{NS} 4.61±0.40^a 6.13±2.67^c 5.82±1.95^d 8.30±1.99^a 6.20±2.11^b
	A	B	C	D																	
pH	4.93b	4.92a	4.93b	4.61a																	
	A	B	C	D																	
TS(%)	6.13a	5.82a	8.30a	6.20a																	