

Editorial Comment:

I'm getting more and more confused. Please find below my response on November 1.

I have also attached the revised (second time!) version. And I have done it again here. To me it is unbelievable that the authors cannot get even the title right! Once for all, please REQUEST that the authors submit this version. Otherwise I have to reject the paper.

Disappointingly, the authors have not followed my instructions. Although some changes have been made, quite a few of them have not been included. Just as some examples:

Line 8: "community-acquire" should be "community-acquired"

Line 162: The figure legend has not been revised at all

And there are plenty of other things to revise, for instance the inconsistency of spelling beta-lactamase and b-lactamase (see also in the title). Please follow the highlights in my revision, again attached here.

If the authors do not bother/agree to the essential changes, I have no other possibility than to reject the manuscript.

Author's Feedback:

It was amazed when I saw your reply. It seems you are still reviewing the former manuscript. All the necessary corrections and changes have been made. Please, read through this manuscript in file labeled 'THOROUGH REVIEWED'. I deliberately renamed and attached this file for your perusal.

Please, check the file document labeled THOROUGHLY REVIEWED.