



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	2013_BMRJ_5622
Title of the Manuscript:	Community-acquired CTX-M β -lactamase enteric isolates in Abeokuta, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript reported significant findings. The research outcome is not only pertinent for academic purposes, but also for public health planning at large. The research methodology is appropriate for clinical purpose but for research purpose, more should have been done on isolates' identification using specie-specific and genus-specific PCR or if possible by sequencing. The manuscript would still contribute to learning	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 16: <i>Klebsiella oxytoca</i> Line 19: but if this persists Line 110: extension at Line 122: CLSI instead of NCCLS Figure 1 title should be below the gel picture and be more explicit, include the ladder info, ...	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anthony Ayodeji Adegoke
Department, University & Country	Department of Microbiology, University of Uyo, Nigeria