



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	2013_BMRJ_5622
Title of the Manuscript:	Community-acquired CTX-M β -lactamase enteric isolates in Abeokuta, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1-The CTX-M primer sequence is not correct. 2-The MIC carried out according the NCCLS While today CLSI guidelines is used. 4- Use of azithromycin antibiotic for <i>Enterobacteriaceae</i> is In contrast with the CLSI guidelines. 5- Antibiotic susceptibility test is not correct and must be carried out according the CLSI guidelines. 6- Detection of ESBLs must be according the CLSI guidelines. 7-<i>E.coli</i> DH5α and <i>E.coli</i> ATCC 25922 are used as the host strain for transformation and the MIC reference strain, respectively. 8-For detection of ESBLs must use <i>Klebsiella pneumonia</i> ATCC700603 as positive control. 9-Plasmid DNA transformation method is not correct, <i>E.coli</i> ATCC 25922 must not use. 10-This manuscript is lack of Novelty	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1-Please remove figure 1 from manuscript.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran