



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	2013_BMRJ_7217
Title of the Manuscript:	Molecular Identification of Bacillus species in Commercial Honey
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This is a very preliminary study of honey optionally contaminated by microorganism. The research protocol is insufficient and not enough to support the conclusion that honey is really contaminated by <i>bacillus specie</i> . It is because only using molecular marker to identify <i>bacillus existed</i> in honey is to rough. The more exact way is sequencing the PCR products that may contain the genome DNA of <i>bacillus</i> . In addition, English needs to be carefully edited since there are many typing errors and grammar mistakes. The authors seem not familiar with molecular biology, the technical term used in text is not sound and prevent clearly understand the text.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer