SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Microbiology Research Journal**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_BMRJ_9670**
Title of the Manuscript:	**An improved protocol for metagenomic DNA from high humus, alkaline and saline soil of chinampa for T-RFLP fingerprinting analysis**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The English of this paper should be reviewed by a native speaker or an editing service.**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	This paper would be significantly strengthened by performing sequencing of the resulting 16S amplicons using next-generation techniques (454, Illumina, PacBio, etc.) to determine the OTUs in the samples examined. In addition, while the metagenomic DNA isolation technique appears to work well for the techniques described here, the vast majority of samples are being isolated for sequencing. Verifying the suitability of these techniques for that application would be helpful.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer