SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Microbiology Research Journal**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_BMRJ_9156**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Hazard Analysis Critical Control Points for the Production of Kunun zaki from Millet.**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**I have made my comments and observations on the paper, see attached scanned doc** **1. Title: This should reflect the results obtained in the study;** **i. suggested title "Physico-chemical and microbiological quality characteristics of kunun-zaki obtained from three production sites in Port-Harcourt, Nigeria"** **2. Abstract, the aim should incorporate the other parameters evaluated in the study.** **i. The Results component needed to be reviewed** **3. Introduction: Figure 1 was not included in the doc sent to me.** **4. Materials and methods** **i. Statistical analysis should be included** **ii. see other correction on the attached doc** **5. Results and discussion** **i. See my observations and comments on the attached doc.** **ii. Tables 2-5 should be married together and data for each test e.g total viable count from the four treatments statistically analyzed and the results presented in the form that the ready could compare them at a glance.** **iii. Instead of taking the means of the data obtained from the four treatments, each data should be presented**	

	iv. See my comments on the scanned doc. **6. Please refer to the published materials in kunun-zaki which I had listed in the attached doc**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Generally, the authors merely presented the results with adequate explanations of the results such that the reader be carried along.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer