SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Microbiology Research Journal**
Manuscript Number:	**2013_BMRJ_8224**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Improved Cultural Conditions for Methionine Accumulation in Submerged Cultivation of Bacillus cereus S8**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment***(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	Excellent scientific work for publication by the results and conclusions obtained. Line 31: should read: The Aims of this study was ..... Line 39: ..... Slant at 4 ° C (Specify: every……months it should make a new culture). Line 43: It does not say as the seed culture medium and fermentation medium were sterilized. Line 220: Could you expand a little more conclusions, emphasizing: Conclusions of 66/76/85/92/97/103/109. And not to mention future studies or research.	
Minor REVISION comments	Missing some details to consider for publication	
Optional/Generalcomments	Fulfilling the assessments made, it would be possible its publication.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer