



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	2013_BMRJ_7217
Title of the Manuscript:	Molecular Identification of Bacillus species in Commercial Honey
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1-Abstract - Make the corrections and notes as indicated. 2-Introduction - make the correction and notes indicated. - Retype the highlighted sentences . 3-Materials and Methods - Add the source of media and ladder - Add the trade name of honey samples especially the 5 international ones. - Make PCR test in triplicate if possible. - make the correction and notes indicated. 4-Results and discussion - Make the corrections and notes as indicated in the evaluated manuscript. 5-References - Make the correction and notes as indicated. - Add recent references if possible(2012-2013).	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	- There are lots of typographical errors. - Citations are in adequate - A small number of references .	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elsayed Alsaied Masoud Hussein
Department, University & Country	Department of applied medical sciences, Najran University, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia